Discovery Cluster Planning [1]

Submitted by Rozele on Thu, 2012-11-01 13:29 Tuesday, January 8, 2013 - 16:00 to 17:30

Event: Winter Meeting 2013 [2]
Session Type: Breakout [3]
Expertise Level: Intermediate [4]
Collaboration Area: Discovery [5]

Abstract/Agenda:

- 1. Discuss the status and plans for the <u>Discovery Request For Comments</u> [6] to be submitted to NASA's Standards and Processes Group.
- 2. Develop, review and populate the <u>Discovery Implementation Coverage Matrix</u> [7] that summaries the feature set of capabilities.
- 3. Discuss plans for going forward with the ESIP Geoportal
- 4. Prepare for Grand Challenges Session (link [8])
- 5. Other Topics?

Notes:

Discovery Planning Breakout Session Notes

I. Lynnes

Discovery RFC; Memo for NASA Earth Science Data Systems on standards

Section 7: description of what doing, OpenSearch document but also data casting

Yoshi commented so added data casting. Need Ruth to check data casting is correct; can make changes directly in document

Added more introductory material: data casting is whole framework without the query, which is provided by provider

Atom is still preferred syndication format

[comments in doc show required/optional components for cliff's notes on document]

Search terms: optional, but expect at data set level but not granular

multiple search terms separated by '+' which is equivalent to boolean 'AND'

Discussion: why not use space? Default behavior versus special keywords. So the plus should be in URL

Put a plus, or put a plus or a space

Discovery Cluster Planning

Published on Commons (https://commons.esipfed.org)

Agreed 'AND'...but need to take back to labs, keep figuring out string

Default behavior for multiple terms is 'AND'

Time extension: optional. If use follow OpenSearch extension

slash in response to separate date range

Spatial extension: optional

having a minimum bounding box

Recursive OpenSearch queries: optional

drilling down to more specific queries

Characterizing atom link elements

How deal with versions of netCDF? --> see what rest of community is doing. Does client care that much? Most can handle 4. Matt: look around to see if acceptable way to encode (by next week)

Characterizing OpenDAP link elements

Make note: don't have to send back all 6

new code

Versioning: required

Parser resolves namespace so lose version. Added space to enable xpath discovery. Redundant about the version, but not a big deal

what version getting is not specified

disambiguate notion of version: not the dataset, left to providers

Error handling: required

resolves error for success with no returns

Implementation

make bulleted list names (no URLs)

Discovery Cluster Planning

Published on Commons (https://commons.esipfed.org)

need to name check

add something about conformity; not up to standards yet bc didn't have them

II. Hua

Implementation coverage matrix

fill out which feature sets are being used/popular/planned but not implemented

add column root suffix OPeNDAP link elements

add columns for 'or' and 'not'

Anything else should add?

every time have RFC have reference implementation: useful?

simple enough to already implement in applications where need to use it

but an aspect of compliance testing useful if can do cheaply: gain v. cost

a quick sanity test. Need default: grand default of what to do if ask to do something canon (circle search)

have a template of capabilities

Not sure ultimately...accumulate some compliance resources

Propose writing up, Funding Friday this summer....

ESIP Discovery mail list for questions/issues/ambiguity

Put in RFC, explain ongoing change processes 9end of implementation section]

Actions:

RFC Action Items

- Ruth and JPL Representative(s) check the Datacasting section in RFC
- Ruth (or other) update document to state Datacasting as an "advertisement" and "page rank" in RFC
- OpenSearch Focused Members take a look at the Datacasting section in RFC
- JPL DAAC Rep Write RSS specification in RFC
- Eric "+" is in URL, not in the data that gets parsed by the server (create DCP?)
- Eric Find default behavior for searchTerms in OpenSearch ("space-delimited")

Discovery Cluster Planning

Published on Commons (https://commons.esipfed.org)

- Note, Chris was correct in stating there is no default "boolean" behavior, but the default delimiter is a "space" character
- Chris update spec to explicitly state that recursive OpenSearch queries imply subsetting operations (as opposed to broader or related search)
- Matt look for different ways of representing MIME types for netCDF3 vs netCDF4 (or HDF4 vs HDF5)
- Chris For OPeNDAP, make a change to denote that not all 6 response types are required
- Chris Disambiguate notion of versioning to ensure we're not talking about dataset version (which is left up to the providers)
- Chris Add bulleted list of implementations (with or without URLs)
- Chris Acknowledge in Implementation section that most servers and clients "loosely conform"
- All Update the Implementations section of the RFC with your implementations
- Chris Add note at end of implementations section that any issues should be reported to the ESIP Discovery mailing list

Implementation Matrix Action Items

- Hook add "root" entry point column for OPeNDAP link to Google Doc
- Hook add columns for "OR" and "NOT" for boolean search capabilities
- Chris Reach out to Pedro and Yoshi to try and get more implementations on the Google Doc
- ??? add AEROSTAT to Google Doc
- Chris Reach out to UAH and SCS (Ken Keiser or Helen) for Google Doc contribution

Session Leads: Name: Hook Hua [9]

Organization(s): <u>Jet Propulsion Lab</u>

[10]

Name: Chris Lynnes [11]

Organization(s): NASA Goddard Space Flight

<u>Center</u> [12]

Email: christopher.s.lynnes@nasa.gov [13]

Notes takers: Name: Sarah Clark [14]

Organization(s): National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis [15] Email: sclark@bren.ucsb.edu [16]

Creative Common License: Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License **Teaser:** Help plan the Discovery Cluster's activities for the coming year

Source URL: https://commons.esipfed.org/node/714

Links

- [1] https://commons.esipfed.org/node/714
- [2] https://commons.esipfed.org/taxonomy/term/464
- [3] https://commons.esipfed.org/session-type/breakout
- [4] https://commons.esipfed.org/taxonomy/term/261
- [5] https://commons.esipfed.org/collaboration-area/discovery
- [6] https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ymt5vsP2jw2YMVnc2B8uEI0BKTHcT4jSNVQzruLIFPA/edit
- [7] https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AlQ95ca89UmYdEF2V2pFQjl6WFM3U0lRVGM5eX AzWFE#gid=0
- [8] https://docs.google.com/document/d/1-llKh6-HfbgLUr0jEEQy5rN1kC3TZ2F0CK76pxRysWQ/edit?in vite=CJvmpd8N
- [9] https://commons.esipfed.org/node/255
- [10] https://commons.esipfed.org/taxonomy/term/197
- [11] https://commons.esipfed.org/node/234

Discovery Cluster PlanningPublished on Commons (https://commons.esipfed.org)

- [12] https://commons.esipfed.org/taxonomy/term/246
- [13] mailto:christopher.s.lynnes@nasa.gov [14] https://commons.esipfed.org/node/676
- [15] https://commons.esipfed.org/taxonomy/term/481
- [16] mailto:sclark@bren.ucsb.edu